



Interview Summary	,	
morrien dammary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher C Pratt	1771
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Christopher C Pratt.	(3)	
(2) <u>Gregory Ward</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 July 2003.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☒ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)[☑ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All generally</u> .		,
Identification of prior art discussed: Hassenboehler, Jr. et a	<u>I (5244482)</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)[X]	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendments that v	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT O Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse si	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THI F THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY
The Exeminer suggested among claimed strain rate to a maximum. Strain rate of 10. Applicant results we lower strain rates. A that the instant invention has improve their rates. The examiner suggested it into the claim. Applicant agreed to previously regarded as in over 1/2.	and at 6 to 00 Is declaration shows applicant also organ and exterin rates over tensile though Avoidition this proper	survive 482's unexpreted Lipinted out who lover ty and putting
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary	Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/532,395		GREGORY F. WARD		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Christopher (Pratt	1771		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>Christopher C Pratt</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Gregory Ward</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 29 July 2003.					
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊠ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant	's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊡ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: All generally.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hassenboehler, Jr. et al (5244482)</u> .					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)∐ was not r	eached. h)□ N	/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
•					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an					
Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	ature, if required		